

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office

May 12, 1999

LB 271

once again find ourselves in the situation of garnering no revenue and helping benefit another subdivision of the state of Nebraska. But we've got time to deal with that. I'm not going to belabor that here. It is a real problem and I would like to ask leave to withdraw the amendment.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Bromm. Senator Kristensen. Excuse me, the amendment is withdrawn.

CLERK: Mr. President, I have nothing further on the bill.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Smith.

SENATOR SMITH: Mr. President, I move the advancement of LB 271 reluctantly to E & R for engrossing.

SENATOR CUDABACK: The motion is...Speaker Kristensen.

SPEAKER KRISTENSEN: Mr. President, I wanted to speak on the advancement. Is it my light and my turn to speak on the advancement?

SENATOR CUDABACK: Your light is on.

SPEAKER KRISTENSEN: Thank you. Before we move to advance, Senator Wickersham, I do have a couple of questions and I have held off because I didn't want to interrupt the discussion that you and Senator Landis were having. Mine moves to the issue of, and you've talked about the taxation and the tax paid by the leaseholder or the tenant. That sparks the interest of, for example, and the bills have been out there floating around, the taxation of lots around the lakes and that federal law requires the buffer of I believe 75 feet.

SENATOR WICKERSHAM: Um-hum.

SPEAKER KRISTENSEN: Those...that 75 feet certainly can be determined to be a public purpose. I don't think there's any argument about that, that that's required if they're going to hold that property and maintain their license, they have to keep that 75 feet buffer. The issue becomes the property that's within that buffer is that then property which would be exempt